

1 JEFFREY L. KESSLER (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

Email: jkessler@dl.com

2 A. PAUL VICTOR (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

Email: pvictor@dl.com

3 DAVID L. GREENSPAN (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

Email: dgreenspan@dl.com

4 JAMES F. LERNER (Admitted *Pro Hac Vice*)

Email: jlerner@dl.com

5 DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP

6 1301 Avenue of the Americas

7 New York, New York 10019

Telephone: (212) 259-8000

8 Facsimile: (212) 259-7013

9 Attorneys for Defendants Panasonic Corporation and

10 Panasonic Corporation of North America

11 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

12 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

13 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

14 **In Re: Optical Disk Drive Products**
 15 **Antitrust Litigation**

16 _____
 17 This Document Relates to:

18 **INDIRECT PURCHASER CASES**

) No. 3:10 MD-02143 RS
)
) **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]**
) **ORDER RE EXTENSION OF TIME**
) **FOR BRIEFING SCHEDULE**
) **PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-2(A)**

21 _____
 22
 23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28 **STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER RE EXTENSION OF TIME FOR BRIEFING SCHEDULE**

Case No. 10-02143 RS

1 WHEREAS, Defendants Panasonic Corporation and Panasonic Corporation of North
2 America (“Panasonic Defendants”) were named in the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs’ Second
3 Amended Class Action Complaint (“IP-SAC”) (Dkt. No. 403) filed on September 23, 2011;

4 WHEREAS, the Panasonic Defendants had not previously been named as Defendants in
5 the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs’ First Amended Class Action Complaint (“IP-FAC”) (Dkt. No.
6 239), filed October 1, 2010, and thus were not served with and did not respond to the IP-FAC;

7 WHEREAS, the Panasonic Defendants now request a brief, two week extension of time to
8 analyze the IP-SAC and to prepare a response to it;

9 WHEREAS, Panasonic Corporation has agreed to accept service of, and has been served
10 with, the IP-SAC;

11 WHEREAS, the stipulated extension of time relieves the Indirect Plaintiffs of the burden
12 of needing to serve Panasonic Corporation in Japan or moving the Court on other grounds, which
13 would have caused additional delay;

14 WHEREAS, the extension only applies to the briefing schedule for any individual motions
15 filed by the Panasonic Defendants in response to the IP-SAC, and will not affect the timing for any
16 joint motions to be filed in response to the IP-SAC;

17 WHEREAS, a previous time modification occurred in this case on August 26, 2011, when
18 the Court endorsed a stipulation extending time for the briefing schedule (Dkt. No. 401);

19 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by the undersigned counsel on behalf of the Parties
20 identified below, pursuant to Local Rule 6-2(a), that the schedule to file a motion to dismiss and
21 any responsive briefs shall be as follows:

- 22 (1) The deadline for the Panasonic Defendants to file any individual motion to
23 dismiss the IP-SAC is no later November 3, 2011;

(2) The deadline for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs to file any opposition to the motion to dismiss the IP-SAC is no later than 21 days after the Panasonic Defendants file any motion to dismiss the IP-SAC; and

(3) The deadline for the Panasonic Defendants to file any reply in support of any motion to dismiss the IP-SAC is no later than 13 days after the Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs file any opposition to the motion to dismiss the IP-SAC.

It is also stipulated that any individual motion to dismiss the IP-SAC filed by either Panasonic Defendant, and any Opposition and Reply motions, will be subject to the same page limits set forth in the Stipulation and Order Regarding The Briefing for Any Motions to Dismiss (Dkt. No. 415), filed on October 4, 2011.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

DATED: October 4, 2011

DEFENDANTS

By: /s/ David L. Greenspan
JEFFREY L. KESSLER (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Email: jkessler@dl.com
A. PAUL VICTOR (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Email: pvictor@dl.com
DAVID L. GREENSPAN (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Email: dgreenspan@dl.com
JAMES F. LERNER (admitted *pro hac vice*)
Email: jlerner@dl.com
DEWEY & LEBOEUF LLP
1301 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10019
Telephone: (212) 259-8000
Facsimile: (212) 259-7013

*Attorneys for Defendants Panasonic Corporation of
North America and Panasonic Corporation*

DATED: October 4, 2011

INDIRECT PURCHASER PLAINTIFFS

STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER RE EXTENSION OF TIME FOR BRIEFING SCHEDULE

Case No. 10-02143 RS

By: /s/ Jeff D. Friedman
Jeff D. Friedman
Shana E. Scarlett
Hagens Berman Sobol & Shapiro, LLP
715 Hearst Avenue, Suite 202
Berkely, CA 94710
Telephone: (510) 725-3000
Facsimile: (510) 725-3001
jeff@hbsslaw.com
shanas@hbsslaw.com

Steve W. Berman (*Pro Hac Vice*)
HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 623-7292
Facsimile: (206) 623-0594
steve@hbsslaw.com
Interim Lead Counsel for Indirect Purchaser Plaintiffs

Pursuant to General Order No. 45, § X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from each of the above signatories.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 10/7, 2011



The Honorable Richard Seeborg
United States District Judge
Northern District of California